

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,304	02/13/2002	Susumu Yasuda	03560.003025	4444
5514	7590 06/04/2003			
	CK CELLA HARPER	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFI NEW YORK	ELLER PLAZA , NY 10112	PHAN, JAMES		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	'/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicar	ıt(s)			
	10/073,304	YASUDA	ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James Phan	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min vill apply and will expire s , cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be cons SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	idered timely. ate of this communication. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application		ation				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wii iioiii considera	ition.				
_						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	election requirem	ent .				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office act	ion.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 🗌 5) 🗍 6) 🗍	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Informal Patent Applie Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2872

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-31, drawn to a tiltable-body apparatus, classified in class 248, subclass 466.
- Claims 32, drawn to a scanning type display, classified in class 345, subclass 7.
- III. Claims 33-37, drawn to a method of fabricating a tiltable-body apparatus, classified in class 248, subclass 560.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the patentability of the combination does not rely on the details of the subcombination defined in claims 2-31. The subcombination has separate utility such as a mechanical device or a deflecting device.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

Art Unit: 2872

the instant case the different inventions have different functions; i.e. invention III displays a scanned image while invention II forms a tiltable-body apparatus.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product such as a micro deflecting device.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the fields of search are not coextensive (see "Note" below), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Note: Invention I requires at least a search in class 248, subclass 466, and class 310, subclass 40, while inventions II and III do not; Invention II requires at least a search in class 345, subclass 7, and class 359, subclass 224, while inventions I and III do not; and Invention III requires at least a search in class 248, subclass 560, while inventions I and II do not.

Art Unit: 2872

Control Hamber. Torol 0,00

If invention I is elected, the following election of species is required.

The invention I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (1) the species disclosed in the first embodiment;
- (2) the species disclosed in the second embodiment;
- (3) the species disclosed in the third embodiment;
- (4) the species disclosed in the fourth embodiment;
- (5) the species disclosed in the sixth embodiment;
- (6) the species disclosed in the seventh embodiment;
- (7) the species disclosed in the eighth embodiment; and
- (8) the species disclosed in the ninth embodiment.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 2872

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was not made to the applicant to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the complexity of the election/restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Phan whose telephone number is (703)308-4810. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on (703)308-1867. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Phan, J. May 31, 2003

> James Phan Primary Examiner